Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

Public Hearing

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice Austin Cullen

Held at:

Room 701 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C.

Thursday, December 19, 2019

APPEARANCES

Patrick McGowan Alison Latimer Senior Commission Counsel

David Butcher, QC

for Brad Desmarais

- iii -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Introductions	1
Submissions by Mr. Butcher	1

PAGF

1 Proceedings

Vancouver, B.C. 1 2 December 19, 2019 3 4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. The Cullen 5 Commission of Inquiry is now open. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 7 MR. MCGOWAN: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. For the record, 8 it's Patrick McGowan, counsel for the Commission. 9 With me is Alison Latimer. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McGowan, Ms. 11 Latimer. 12 MR. MCGOWAN: Mr. Commissioner, it's David Butcher 13 appearing for the applicant, Brad Desmarais. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Butcher. Yes, Mr. Commissioner. 15 MR. MCGOWAN: Before you today is an application brought by Mr. Desmarais to be 16 17 granted standing as a formal participant. This 18 application was originally scheduled to proceed 19 on October 18th, at which time Mr. Butcher, 20 counsel for Mr. Desmarais, applied for and was granted an adjournment to allow him to have 21 22 discussions with counsel for the British Columbia 23 Lottery Corporation and to access documents, 24 which at that time, I understand, were subject to 25 some legal restriction. I understand Mr. Butcher 26 is now prepared to proceed, and I would invite 27 him to do so. 28 MR. BUTCHER: I am prepared to proceed. I've been 29 asked a couple of times by the Commission for a 30 date. 31 As I understand it, document production is 32 still in early stages. Hopefully it's not infant 33 stages, but it's still in early stages. I know 34 BCLC has not yet produced their documents but I 35 also know they're working actively on that. Ι 36 understand Mr. German has not produced any or 37 many documents with respect to his work on his 38 first report on casinos. 39 So I'm going to proceed anyway in the face 40 of that. Do you have my written submission? Thank you. 41 THE COMMISSIONER: I do. MR. BUTCHER: I'm just going to go through it fairly 42 43 It's a fairly straightforward quickly. 44 application. It's my position that Mr. 45 Desmarais, as Vice President of Casino and 46 Community Gambling for the BLCL, is really in a 47 very similar position to Mr. Kroeker and Mr.

1 Lightbody, both of whom you have given standing 2 to already, in a limited capacity, to deal with 3 the issues that relate to the regulation and 4 management of casinos through the Corporation. 5 I've set out in paragraph 2 the terms of 6 The first term of reference that reference. 7 causes concern for individuals at the level of 8 management that Mr. Desmarais works is number 9 (b), the acts or omissions of regulatory 10 authorities or individuals with powers, duties or 11 functions in respect of the sectors under review, 12 to determine whether or not those acts or 13 omissions have contributed to money laundering in 14 British Columbia and whether they have amounted 15 to corruption. 16 Those are strong words. And if those 17 allegations are uncovered in the evidence, then 18 clearly the individuals against whom those things 19 might be said need representation. 20 And I've put out the third term of reference 21 in paragraph 3. If you have reasonable grounds 22 to believe that any information obtained during 23 the inquiry may be useful in the investigation or 24 prosecution of an offence under the Criminal 25 Code, the commissioner must forward that 26 information to the appropriate authorities. When the attorney general issued the terms 27 of reference, he could have limited it to the 28 29 review of the institutional roles --30 THE COMMISSIONER: Mm-hm. 31 MR. BUTCHER: -- in the process but has clearly 32 required you to look at the role of individuals 33 as well. 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Before you go further, Mr. Butcher, 35 I just wanted to explore your submission on these 36 two points. As I see it, at this stage in the 37 game those are two theoretical considerations as 38 far as your client is concerned. Absolutely. 39 MR. BUTCHER: 40 THE COMMISSIONER: There's nothing to ground them. Absolutely. 41 MR. BUTCHER: 42 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. 43 My client very clearly states that none MR. BUTCHER: 44 of those kinds of allegations would apply to him. 45 But that does not in any way reduce his concern. And I'll show you where the concern comes from. 46 47 I've set out in paragraph 7 one of the

1 paragraphs from your Ruling 1# that set out the 2 factors that you have to consider, and item c is 3 whether the applicant faces the possibility of 4 adverse comment or criticism with respect to his 5 conduct. 6 And then I've got some more paragraphs from 7 your rulings that deal with the Baker factors 8 that deal with administrative fairness. You've 9 already had those reviewed by others and have 10 obviously written about them. 11 I have just quoted a few paragraphs from the 12 German report in these submissions. The 13 executive summary begins with paragraph 5 that 14 I've quoted in my paragraph 9: 15 16 For many years, certain Lower Mainland 17 casinos unwittingly served as laundromats for the proceeds of organized crime. 18 This 19 represented a collective system failure, 20 which brought the gaming industry into 21 disrepute in the eyes of many British 22 Columbians. 23 24 Paragraph 6: 25 26 The problem grew over time until it 27 outdistanced the ability of existing 28 legislation, process and structure to 29 effectively manage the problem. The 30 combined effect of years of denial, 31 alternate hypotheses and acrimony between 32 entities made for a perfect storm which 33 reached its apex in July 2015. 34 35 And Mr. German continued in the executive summary 36 by -- and I'll just quote the underlined quotes 37 dealing with both BCLC and GPEB. He said: 38 39 It was a failed strategy for one simple 40 reason. Organized criminals are not looking 41 for cash alternatives. They want to launder 42 cash and we now know that they continued to 43 do precisely that, and with vigour. 44 45 And then there's an important point about the 46 German report. It says: 47

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Third, it is important at the outset to note what this Review and Report are about, and what they are not about. I do not apportion blame on any person. I was asked to "review" and not to "investigate" allegations of money laundering. This is a critical distinction.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>And so, very clearly, in contrast to your terms of reference, Mr. German's terms of reference were to look at institutions only. I've made some references to Mr. German's report on what he described as a failed strategy following the publication of the Kroeker report. And he describes the this is paragraph 12. I've excerpted two other paragraphs where he describes the conflict between GPEB and GCGC and BCLC. And later on he goes so far as to describe that as an internecine warfare.</pre>
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The thrust, in my submission, of Mr. German's report is that BCLC, for various reasons, is at least partially responsible for reported failure to control the reported money laundering in B.C. casinos. My client certainly has a view about the nature and extent of the alleged problem which is different from Mr. German's, quite different, in fact.
30 31 MR. 32	COMMISSIONER: Different from Mr. German. Is it different from BCLC generally? BUTCHER: I don't think I'm in a position to say that yet.
	COMMISSIONER: Right. BUTCHER: In your first ruling, on the paper submissions only, you made comments about Mr. Kroeker's background that he was chief compliance officer and vice president of BCLC for legal compliance and security from September 2015 to July 2019. Mr. Kroeker submits that he's been the subject of reproaches and complaints in the media and has information to provide in response, including some that contradicts the assertions made. And then you granted standing to Mr. Kroeker because of his legal, reputational and privacy interests may be affected by the findings of the Commission.

4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	And in paragraph 16 I've made reference to Mr. Lightbody. Mr. Lightbody held my client's position from January '11 to January 2014. And in Ruling #3 you set out his background in your paragraph 5 from that ruling. And in paragraph 20 you said:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Here, it appears that because of his position, Mr. Lightbody may be subject personally to adverse comment or criticism arising from an adverse assessment of BCLC's corporate actions. There also appears to be a reasonable prospect, given his submissions, that he has information or "a perspective" to offer that is different from the corporate perspective of BCLC and which BCLC is not privy to. In my view, that confluence of factors creates a relationship between Mr. Lightbody and BCLC that would not be accommodated appropriately within a single retainer.
22 23 24 25 26 27	And then you go on to say that you will grant Mr. Lightbody status on condition that his contribution does not duplicate that of BCLC particularly, or, I suppose, any other party. Paragraph 18. I say:
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Mr. Desmarais is in a very similar position as Mr. Lightbody, and perhaps he has a more compelling case than Mr. Kroeker, who joined BCLC in 2015, two and a half years after Mr. Desmarais.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<pre>Mr. German traces the money laundering issue and now I really am overgeneralizing back to 2012 or 2013, when my client started work at BCLC. And Mr. Desmarais says exactly the same. So he had a longer tenure at BCLC than Mr. Kroeker, and direct responsibility for casinos, although not for the whole period of his employment there. I say he has personal insight arising from his background as a police officer of 34 years, personal disagreement with some of the findings of Mr. German's report. He was part of the senior management team through the period in</pre>

1 which Mr. German asserts that BCLC had a failed 2 strategy and engaged in internecine warfare. And 3 I say that there's a reasonable prospect that he 4 has a perspective that may be different. His 5 decision making and conduct appears to have been б and may again be the subject of criticism. 7 And while Mr. German's -- this is my last 8 page. While Mr. German's report was critical, 9 that criticism was specifically at organizations, 10 not individuals. And your terms of reference are 11 different. Any criticism of BCLC's response to 12 money laundering is a potential criticism of the 13 senior managers responsible for that response. 14 Mr. Desmarais is working closely with BCLC 15 counsel. We've had one daylong meeting already. 16 We have another daylong meeting scheduled for January. 17 My client is meeting with Commission 18 counsel in mid-January. We will be cooperating 19 fully. But that does not eliminate the potential 20 for both deviation from BCLC's position and personal criticism. 21 22 Accordingly, he seeks participant status to 23 protect his personal interests on the same 24 conditions as those imposed on Mr. Kroeker and 25 Mr. Lightbody. Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: I think when we adjourned the last 26 27 time, it wasn't on the footing, but sort of the 28 upshot of your submission was that you may find 29 documents that either reveal that your client is 30 on a different trajectory from BCLC or that he's 31 I take it you're not able to -not. MR. BUTCHER: 32 I'm not able to address that at this 33 point. 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Address that at this point. All 35 right. All right. Thank you, Mr. Butcher. 36 I think what I'll do, then, is simply 37 adjourn, reserve my reasons, and issue them in 38 the next little while. I don't think it will 39 take too long. All right, thank you. 40 Thank you. MR. BUTCHER: 41 THE COMMISSIONER: Anything else, Mr. McGowan, that 42 needs to be dealt with? 43 MR. MCGOWAN: Nothing else, Mr. Commissioner. 44 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 45 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now adjourned. 46 47 --- PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED