# Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

## **Public Hearing**

#### Commissioner

The Honourable Justice
Austin Cullen

#### Held at:

Room 701 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C.

Friday, October 18, 2019

### **APPEARANCES**

for Fred Pinnock

Brock Martland, QC Senior Commission Counsel Patrick McGowan Senior Commission Counsel

David Butcher, QC for Brad Desmarais

Robin McFee, QC for James Lightbody

Jessie Meikle-Kahs

Paul Jaffe

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Introductions	1
Commissioner's Opening Remarks	2
Submissions by Mr. Butcher	6
Submissions by Mr. McFee	8
Submissions by Mr. Jaffe	13

1 Vancouver, B.C. 2 October 18, 2019 3 4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. The Cullen 5 Commission of Inquiry is now open to hear 6 applications for standing. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Martland. 8 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, it's 9 Brock Martland, M-a-r-t-l-a-n-d. I appear with 10 Patrick McGowan, M-c-q-o-w-a-n. We're both 11 senior commission counsel. We're convening the first hearing today of the commission into Money 12 13 Laundering in British Columbia. 14 As we commence our process, I'd like to 15 start by acknowledging we're here today on the 16 unceded and traditional territories of the Coast 17 Salish People, the Musqueam, Squamish, and 18 Tsleil-Waututh Nation. 19 I'll first ask counsel to introduce 20 themselves, and then Mr. McGowan is going to 21 address you briefly. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 23 I think I've been introduced, but MR. MCGOWAN: 24 Patrick McGowan, M-c-g-o-w-a-n, for the 25 commission. 26 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. McGowan. 27 MR. BUTCHER: Mr. Commissioner, I am David Butcher. 28 appear for Mr. Brad Desmarais. 29 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Butcher. 30 MR. MCFEE: Mr. Commissioner, Robin McFee, M-c-f-e-e, 31 and with me is Jessie Meikle-Kahs, M-e-i-k-l-e, 32 dash K-a-h-s, and we appear for James Lightbody. 33 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McFee. 34 MR. JAFFE: Mr. Commissioner, my name is Paul Jaffe 35 and I appear as counsel on behalf of Frederick 36 Pinnock. 37 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Jaffe. 38 Mr. McGowan. Yes, Mr. Commissioner. You convened 39 MR. MCGOWAN: 40 today's hearing to further consider the 41 applications of four individuals. In directing 42 this hearing in your initial ruling, you noted 43 that it was unclear from the materials filed by 44 one of the applicants, Mr. Alderson, the nature 45 and extent of participation he was seeking. noted that Mr. Alderson had requested a meeting 46

with commission counsel and requested that we

meet with him. I can advise that I have met with Mr. Alderson. I discussed with Mr. Alderson his application, what being granted standing as a participant means, and the different ways members of the public with relevant information can engage with the commission.

At the conclusion of our meeting, Mr. Alderson advised me that he did not wish to proceed with his application to be a formal participant and asked that I convey that decision to you today.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. MCGOWAN: I can say, Mr. Commissioner, that as part of the Commission's investigation, we do plan to engage with Mr. Alderson with a view to determining what relevant information and documents he can offer.

Having addressed Mr. Alderson's application, I would invite you, Mr. Commissioner, to proceed with your opening remarks, following which we will hear from counsel for the three remaining applicants.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. McGowan.

Before I formally open this hearing, I would like to say a few words since this is the first time I am speaking publically in my role as commissioner of the Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia.

As Mr. Martland and Mr. McGowan mentioned, this hearing has been convened in accordance with a direction I made following the receipt of 20 applications from various individuals and entities seeking leave to participate in the Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia. On September 24th of 2019, I granted participant status to 16 of the applicants with a direction that the remaining four applicants, Messrs. Alderson, Desmarais, Lightbody, and Pinnock, attend an oral hearing of the inquiry to enable a further review and determination of their individual circumstances in light of the relevant considerations governing these applications.

In the meantime, the British Columbia Real Estate Association sought an extension of time to apply for participant status. I granted that extension of time, and in light of their

application, I granted their application for participant status on October 15th of 2019. My reasons for doing so are posted on the commission's website.

As you've heard and as it transpired, Mr. Alderson, after discussions with senior commission counsel, has elected to withdraw his application for participant status, being satisfied that if he is called as a witness, he will be able to contribute to the work of the commission to the extent that he wishes.

Before considering the remaining three applications, it seems appropriate to provide a brief overview of the nature and the scope of our mandate and to say something about the commission itself, which will help to give some context to the remaining applications.

As I noted in the introductory statement, which is posted on the commission's website, there appears to be a consensus among law enforcement officials, academics, and subject matter experts that British Columbia is a jurisdiction in which money laundering is flourishing.

The mandate of the commission, which was established by the provincial government, is very broad. It encompasses various economic sectors where money laundering is said to have taken root, grown, and evolved, and it requires the commission to make findings of fact in relation to a number of things, including:

- the extent, growth, evolution, and methods of money laundering in British Columbia with regard to specific economic sectors
- the acts or omissions of responsible regulatory agencies and individuals and whether those have contributed to money laundering in the province or amount to corruption
- the scope and effectiveness of the antimoney laundering powers, duties, and functions of these regulatory agencies and individuals

 and the barriers to effective law enforcement in relation to money laundering.

In addition, the commission has a responsibility to make recommendations to address the conditions that have enabled money laundering to grow and evolve in the province.

In recent years, there has been extensive media investigation and coverage of money laundering. There have also been studies and reports prepared by academics and other experts that shed light on the prevalence of money laundering in the province and its noticeable effects. As a result, the public has become aware of and concerned about this problem. One recent poll reported that approximately 90 percent of British Columbians are concerned about money laundering.

It is part of this inquiry's role to add to the public's understanding of the nature and scale of money laundering in the province and to address its concerns to the extent possible through recommendations for action.

There is also an incidental benefit from simply bringing additional concentrated attention to the crime of money laundering. The more awareness there is of its presence and of the profound social harms it springs from and propagates, the less complacency there can be for facilitating or tolerating it.

Brock Martland, QC, and Patrick McGowan, who are present at this hearing, are the two senior commission counsel. They have undertaken the significant responsibility of assembling and organizing the evidence that will be placed before the commission at the hearing stage.

The evidence will come from many sources and will cover a broad array of topics. To assist in this task, they have put together a very capable team of lawyers and consultants. Biographies of all the commission counsel are posted on our website.

This commission, like all commissions appointed under the *Public Inquiry Act*, is independent of government. We serve the people of British Columbia. This means that the commission

must live up to certain standards in conducting its public hearings.

One of the integral standards is that of fairness to those whose privacy interests, legal interests, or reputational interests may be affected by the proceedings.

Another important standard for the commission is thoroughness. The commission must do its utmost to ensure that its subject matter is comprehensively and meaningfully covered. That entails hearing from a range of voices and points of view.

One of the mechanisms of ensuring fairness and thoroughness is permitting certain parties to act as participants in the proceedings if their interests may be affected by the findings of the commission, if their participation would further the conduct of the inquiry, and/or if their participation would contribute to the fairness of the inquiry.

Not all persons or organizations' representatives who may be called as witnesses are entitled to participant status. If a person believes that he or she has relevant evidence to give, they may be entitled to testify and they may be represented by counsel. It does not follow that having relevant evidence to give justifies a grant of participant status. The other conditions I have mentioned must be in play.

This hearing is not adversarial. It's an attempt by all present to get at the root of the question of whether Messrs. Desmarais, Lightbody, and Pinnock meet the criteria for status as participants or whether their involvement is appropriately confined to that of a witness or a potential witness. I do not anticipate any need for extensive evidence or any cross-examination at this hearing. A simple exploration of the factual and statutory conditions at issue will lead to an appropriate resolution.

As I understand it, Mr. Martland and Mr. McGowan have spoken with counsel for Messrs. Desmarais, Lightbody, and Pinnock, and have agreed upon a format for proceeding this morning. I will shortly ask one of them to set forth what format those proceedings will follow. But in the meantime we'll stand down briefly to permit the

cameras to be cleared from the hearing room. THE REGISTRAR: All rise.

(PROCEEDINGS RECESSED)
(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Martland.
MR. MARTLAND: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. We'll now hear from counsel for the three applicants. I thought I would just mention for the benefit of people in the room that your opening remarks from this morning would be posted on line on the commission's website in short order so people can access them that way.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, Mr. Butcher.
MR. BUTCHER: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to say from
the outset that I am going to be applying for a
brief adjournment of my application. But I'll
come to the reasons for that at the end of my
submissions.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

MR. BUTCHER: My client, Mr. Desmarais, is currently the vice-president of Casino and Community Gaming for the province -- sorry, for the British Columbia Lottery Corporation, and also the interim vice-president of Legal Compliance and Security for the lottery commission. He has been involved for almost 40 years in the investigation, detection, and regulation of money laundering in different economic spheres.

He has been at the Lottery Corporation since 2013 and was one of the senior regulators at the corporation who have been subject to some critical comment both by Mr. German and by other persons in the public domain in the last six months. He was, like Mr. Kroeker, who did receive participant status, responsible for many of the key decisions that are the subject of some comment, sometimes adverse comment, in Mr. German's report.

I want to just take you quickly to the terms of reference that relate to him. He clearly -- and this is paragraph 2 from your ruling with respect to application for standing. He clearly has very significant evidence going back probably to the 1980s with respect to the extent, growth, evolution, and methods of money laundering in British Columbia with respect to the economy as a

whole, I would expect, not just the sectors set out there. But it includes the gaming and horseracing sector as item number one.

The part of your commission mandate that concerns him the most and probably concerns most of the other applicants is the second bullet in paragraph 2, which directs you to make findings with respect to the acts or omissions of responsible regulatory agencies and individuals, and whether those individuals have contributed to money laundering in the province or amount to corruption. Those are very serious words used by the attorney general in the establishment of this commission and make every person who sits in the -- or sat in the decision-making chairs of the responsible regulatory agencies concerned about the evidence that may be led and concerned about whether or not that they are at jeopardy.

Now, my reason for seeking to apply to adjourn at this point is really two-fold. First, I have had some very preliminary discussions with Mr. Smart, who is counsel for the Lottery Corporation, about both documents and our relative roles in this commission should I be granted participant status. I would like to continue those discussions.

Second, I am aware of some documents that would bring much more clarity to the submissions I made that were both brief and somewhat vague in the initial process. But those documents are not available for use by me at this point in this proceeding. They are subject to legal restrictions. I would hope to be able to sort those two issues out within a month or so, and I would ask that I be able to come back before you for 15 or 30 minutes at sometime in November.

- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Butcher. Mr. Martland or Mr. McGowan, do you have any comments to make?
- MR. MARTLAND: No. I suppose I would, Mr.
  Commissioner, identify as -- this is a question I
  think Mr. Butcher has already anticipated, the
  question of alignment versus departure in interest
  between the Lottery Corporation and the individual
  here.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- MR. MARTLAND: But I do appreciate -- with respect to

```
1
            the request for more time and the basis for that,
 2
            I don't have any questions that arise. I think
 3
            that's been spelled out fairly clearly.
 4
                         Thank you. Mr. Butcher, as I'm
       THE COMMISSIONER:
 5
            sure you understand what is primarily of concern
 6
            to the commission in these applications is that
 7
            you establish or applicants establish that they're
 8
            on a somewhat different trajectory than the
 9
            corporation, which has been granted standing.
10
            if I understand your application for an
11
            adjournment correctly, you're seeking that
12
            adjournment to enable you to get documents that
13
            may help to establish that there is a --
14
       MR. BUTCHER:
                    There is or is not a different
15
            trajectory.
       THE COMMISSIONER: -- is or is not a different
16
17
            trajectory, yes.
18
       MR. BUTCHER:
                     Yes.
19
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Yes. All right.
                                            Well, I think in
20
            those circumstances, I am disposed to grant it and
21
            I will.
                     Thank you.
22
       MR. BUTCHER:
                     Thank you.
23
       MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I've been -- I should
24
            do this with a loud voice.
                                        I've just been
25
            reminded that although we have mikes in front of
26
            us --
27
       THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Yes.
       MR. MARTLAND: -- and usually this courtroom has an
28
29
            amplification process, but it's actually not
30
            turned on today. So if everyone -- if I can just
31
            remind anyone addressing you to please speak in a
32
            loud voice so the people at the back can hopefully
33
                          So far have people been able to
34
       THE COMMISSIONER:
35
            hear me in the back row?
36
       VOICES:
               Yes.
37
       MR. MARTLAND: Well, of course they're going to say
38
            that you, so...
39
       THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
                                                  Yes, Mr.
40
            McFee.
                   Yes, Mr. Commissioner. As we told you in
41
       MR. MCFEE:
42
            the introductions, we act on behalf of James
43
            Lightbody who is the president and CEO of the B.C.
44
            Lottery Corporation. Now, he's fulfilled that
45
            function and performed those important duties
            since February of 2014. But prior to February of
46
47
            2014, Mr. Lightbody was BCLC's Vice-President of
```

Casinos and Community Gaming, and that was from 2011 to January 2014.

Now, when one looks at those dates, Mr. Lightbody's tenure with BCLC and his involvement in the gaming industry in British Columbia spans the timeframe identified in Mr. German's report number one for the evolution of what Mr. German described in his reports as small-time loan sharking that evolved into large-scale money laundering in the Lower Mainland casinos.

Now, in that timeframe and that context, one then looks at the commission's mandate, and its terms of reference require the commission to make findings of fact, including with respect to the extent, growth, evolution, and methods of money laundering in British Columbia with regard to -- one aspect is gaming and horseracing.

The second aspect of the mandate is the acts or omissions of responsible regulatory agencies and individuals -- and I emphasize the "individuals" -- and whether those contributed to money laundering in the province or amount to corruption.

And a third aspect of the commission's mandate is the scope and effectiveness of antimoney laundering powers, duties, and function of those regulatory agencies, and again, I emphasize "and individuals."

Now, the terms of reference require the commission to inquire into the extent and growth of money laundering in the very period of time that Mr. Lightbody was a senior executive and ultimately the president and CEO of BCLC, and importantly, to make findings of fact with respect to the acts or omissions of individuals and the scope and effectiveness of anti-money laundering powers of individuals.

Now, the terms of reference mandate that you make findings of fact with respect to the regulatory agencies and individuals.

Now, as such, Mr. Lightbody, the president and CEO of BCLC, has obvious significant personal, privacy, reputational, and professional interests that may be affected in the course of the commission's proceedings and by the findings of the commission. That Mr. Lightbody's personal, privacy, reputational, and professional interests

are in play is further highlighted by the commission's terms of reference that require the commission -- require the commission -- to review and take into account foundational reports, including the German reports.

Now, Mr. German's March 31st, 2018, report, entitled "Dirty Money: an Independent Review of Money Laundering in Lower Mainland Casinos," can fairly be described as being in aspects highly critical of BCLC's alleged failure to recognize the evolution of money laundering in Lower Mainland casinos. Its response to the issue, when identified, and BCLC's relation, or sometimes lack of relation, and interplay, with the Gaming Policy and Enforcement Branch. And Mr. German's even critical of BCLC's expenditures on certain aspects of their operations, including its software system.

Now, all of Mr. German's observations and criticisms occurred, it's fair to say, on Mr. Lightbody's watch as a senior vice-president or the president and CEO. Mr. Lightbody takes significant issue -- significant issue -- with a number of Mr. German's observations and conclusions.

Mr. Lightbody is and was a key player and decision maker in the British Columbia gaming industry at all times material to the commission's terms of reference. And it's a well accepted principle of law -- and we've outlined this for you in our written submissions. I'm not going to go over them in detail, but I want to highlight a few aspects. It's a well established principle of law that commissions of inquiry have a duty to act fairly in coming to decisions that affect the rights, privileges, and interests of an individual.

Now, in our written submissions we've addressed the five factors stipulated by the Supreme Court of Canada in the Baker decision to be considered when determining the content of that duty of fairness. And as I said, I'm not going to repeat those. But consideration of those factors draws one to the conclusion, the inescapable conclusion, that Mr. Lightbody's personal interests, as opposed to the collective corporate interests of BCLC, are squarely engaged by the

commission's terms of reference. Hence, Mr.
Lightbody in his personal capacity is owed a high
degree of procedural fairness that can only be met
by granting a right of standing on the gaming and
horseracing sectors.

Now, further granting Mr. Lightbody standing, in our submission, will enhance and contribute to the commission's work. As I have noted, Mr. Lightbody's tenure in British Columbia spans the entire timeframe under consideration by the commission. Mr. Lightbody can provide personal and unique insight into the government's oversight of BCLC's operations and the casino industry and the changes that occurred when the oversight transferred from the Ministry of Finance to the Ministry of the Attorney General. Mr. Lightbody can provide evidence and insight with respect to interactions between BCLC, gaming service providers, and the regulators: GPEB and FINTRAC, which are the subject of very considerable comment, as you'll see, in the German reports.

He can provide personal insight into and educate the commission with respect to the unique business model under which casinos are operated in B.C. And he can also --

- THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry to interrupt you at this point. I just have a question though, Mr. McFee. The things you're discussing right now is, it seems to me, evidence he could give or information he could provide equally under the umbrella of BCLC. In other words, what you're talking about isn't incongruent with BCLC's interests before this commission, it seems to me.
- MR. MCFEE: No, that's correct. But the ability to have his personal, privacy, and reputational interests protected is not co-extensive with BCLC. His personal, privacy, and reputational interests in some situations could be in conflict with the collective interests of BCLC.
- THE COMMISSIONER: That's really what I wanted to get to.
- MR. MCFEE: Let me just provide you, if I can, with a -- as I say, we're not going to delve into the evidence.
- THE COMMISSIONER: No.
- MR. MCFEE: But just one example. One example of that

where Mr. Lightbody's interests can't be adequately protected and represented by counsel for BCLC or BCLC, who have the corporate collective interests to look after. Mr. German's report -- and I don't need to take you to it, but I'll give you the references. Under Chapter 16, "A Failed Strategy," paragraph 478, Mr. German says:

[A BCLC] investigator --

So this is a BCLC investigator.

-- further advised that no transaction was refused by BCLC before 2015. A senior official within the corporation told him in 2012 that his job was "not to investigate money laundering." He pointed out that nobody was investigating money laundering despite copies of suspicious transaction reports being provided to GPEB and to the RCMP. In his view, nobody showed any interest in the issue.

Now, that's an important aspect of evidence that Mr. German relies upon that, one expects, will come before you as the commissioner. Mr. Lightbody -- the buck stopped with him. He takes great issue with that. He says that's incorrect, and that's only one example. BCLC's counsel can't properly represent Mr. Lightbody's interests in that scenario because you've got a conflict between what one individual at BCLC may have said and what the senior executives and BCLC were actually doing and undertaking and the oversight they were providing.

 So in summary, when one -- and that's only one example. But in summary, when one considers the significant extent to which Mr. Lightbody's personal interests are engaged by the commission's terms of reference and how Mr. Lightbody's personal interests may be affected by the commission's finding, supplemented -- and it's a supplementing -- by Mr. Lightbody's wealth of experience and knowledge in the gaming and horseracing industry in British Columbia, which will serve to further the conduct of the inquiry.

47

MR. JAFFE:

1 In our submission, Mr. Lightbody should be 2 granted standing on gaming and horseracing. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: So your position, if I understand 4 it correctly, is that there is a realistic 5 prospect that Mr. Lightbody may find himself at 6 odds with BCLC on some issues that arise during 7 the course of the inquiry? 8 MR. MCFEE: I wouldn't say with the collective of 9 BCLC, but of aspects of the BCLC's position and 10 evidence that will come forward from former or 11 current employees of BCLC that were perhaps not in 12 the senior executive ranks at the time. 13 BCLC's counsel will be in an impossible position 14 to deal with that. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. MR. MCFEE: And I should say this. Should the 16 17 commission grant standing to Mr. Lightbody, we as 18 his counsel, as you will appreciate, will ensure 19 that his participation doesn't duplicate that of 20 other participants, that there will be Mr. 21 Lightbody's perspective and it won't be repetition 22 and it won't be duplicative of that of other 23 participants, whether they be BCLC or others. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: No. It seems to me that in the 25 event standing were to be granted to him, it would 26 be on the footing that you would fully cooperate 27 with Mr. Smart in his presentation or at least his 28 shepherding --29 MR. MCFEE: Yes. 30 THE COMMISSIONER: -- of BCLC during the course of the 31 inquiry. 32 MR. MCFEE: Yes, no question about that. And not just 33 Mr. Smart for BCLC. For any other persons that 34 would have similar interests if you grant standing 35 to other senior executives of BCLC. 36 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 37 MR. MCFEE: Those are our submissions. 38 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Martland or Mr. 39 McGowan, do you either have any submissions or 40 comments or --41 MR. MARTLAND: No --42 THE COMMISSIONER: -- questions you wish ---- the questions we had have been 43 MR. MARTLAND: 44 canvassed. Thank you. 45 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, Mr. Jaffe.

Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I understand

that you've had a chance to review Mr. Pinnock's

14 Submissions by Mr. Jaffe

46

47

MR. JAFFE:

THE COMMISSIONER:

Yes.

1 lengthy statement? 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I have. Thank you. 3 Thank you. I want to start by saying that MR. JAFFE: 4 at paragraphs 101 to 105 of your initial ruling on 5 standing, you briefly reviewed who Mr. Pinnock is. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 7 MR. JAFFE: And you would know from having seen what 8 you've seen that Mr. Pinnock brings to the 9 commission the possibility of a relatively unique 10 perspective. It's a perspective that was developed throughout 29 years of policing, much of 11 12 which was associated directly with organized 13 crime, the investigation of organized crime. 14 before Mr. Pinnock was appointed commander of the 15 Integrated Illegal Gaming Enforcement Unit, he 16 brought with him to that job tremendous experience 17 in the area of investigating organized crime. 18 In my respectful view -- well, let me just 19 start by saying that you would know from what 20 you've read that Mr. Pinnock is extremely grateful 21 that a commission has been struck facilitating a 22 public inquiry into the concerns that were so 23 meaningful to Mr. Pinnock that it forced him after 24 29 years with the RCMP to leave and take early 25 retirement. That -- if there's any question as to 26 whether the personal, reputational, privacy 27 interests of Mr. Pinnock are at stake in this 28 proceeding, one need only look at the history and 29 how involved he was in the matters that are now 30 squarely before this commission. The way I read Mr. Pinnock's 31 THE COMMISSIONER: 32 report, Mr. Jaffe, or his submissions rather, is 33 that he's provided a wealth of information --MR. JAFFE: 34 Mm-hm. 35 THE COMMISSIONER: -- to the commission that it seems 36 to me warrants the commission engaging with him to 37 investigate the various aspects of his 38 I don't see that -- well, let me put submissions. it this way. It seems to me that his interests 39 40 are congruent with that of the commission. 41 other words, the commission would be pursuing the 42 things that he considers to be of value to the 43 And at this stage, it's difficult to commission. 44 see how granting Mr. Pinnock participant status 45 would advance that process.

In other words, there is a certain

 coordination that can be done with Mr. Pinnock.
MR. JAFFE: Yes, I understand that. And of course we all know that much of his evidence, if not all of it, can come out by way of being called as a witness. And the question then is whether or not his participation beyond that might be helpful to the commission.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

MR. JAFFE: And in my respectful view, it would be for a number of reasons. First of all, this commission wouldn't exist today if it wasn't for the part of a couple of whistleblowers who came forward and exposed what they believe has been going on. Mr. Pinnock, without delving into the evidence of course, was in a fairly unique position to see what he perceived as being interference with his stated mandate, and far beyond a simple indifference or neglect as to the systemic use of gaming venues to facilitate money laundering.

Yes, this is his concerns and much of what he has set out in his statement is congruent with the function of this commission, and therefore the concern might be that his standing would be somewhat redundant and unnecessary. But I raise this concern. This commission of public inquiry has a very important function of satisfying the public demand for an area of great concern to be thoroughly and fairly investigated. You have before you 20 applications for standing, only two of which relate to people who had the courage to come forward. In other words, only two of the 20 are the people whose courage really is what has brought this commission into being, Fred Pinnock being one of them. Mr. Alderson now has accepted his role as a witness rather than a participant. But the two of them have much in common in terms of the nature of their observations and the concerns they've expressed.

But if you exclude Mr. Pinnock from having standing here, virtually all of the participants or parties that have interests to protect in ways which -- let me back up a bit from that. There are some participants of course that aren't capable of being -- finding themselves in the crosshairs of Mr. Pinnock's concerns. Of course there's a few of them. But for the GPEB, the

 Government of Canada, FINTRAC, and the RCMP, the Gateway, the B.C. Lottery Corp -- they're all here participating fully with counsel, with the ability to call witnesses, with the ability to cross-examine and shape evidence, with production of documents capabilities, and --

THE COMMISSIONER: Just so you're aware, Mr. Jaffe, the question of the extent to which participants can call witnesses is not determined yet. We have a draft set of --

MR. JAFFE: I understand.

THE COMMISSIONER: -- rules of procedure, but -- MR. JAFFE: Okay. I understand. But eventually there will come a time when they will, under whatever rules are agreed upon, be capable of participating much more fully than someone who's merely on a witness list.

THE COMMISSIONER: I take your point there.

MR. JAFFE: Yes. And so from the point of -- and I don't think one can overstate the importance that this commission be seen by the public as one that has a level playing field on which all the discrepant interests can be addressed. And I'd simply say that if, within all of the participants, neither of the whistleblowers are able to be at the table and have the capability of participating whether through cross-examination or otherwise, could, in my respectful view, be a problem for the commission down the road.

It would also be quite likely, in my view, that because of Mr. Pinnock's background and observations he made, as you've now reviewed in his statement, he's capable of helping the commission explore certain avenues that may not be as obvious to other people at the table.

It's interesting that here we are in October of 2019 and I -- as you've seen from Mr. Pinnock's statement, efforts have been under way for quite some time through various Freedom of Information requests for the production of documents from the Lottery Corporation and from FINTRAC dealing squarely upon matters that Mr. Pinnock is able to give evidence on. And you don't have the document production yet that will truly inform you of the degree to which Mr. Pinnock may contribute to this commission, whether by way of witness or as a participant. But just to give you an example

1 of -- you 2 Butcher -- 3 understand 4 interestin 5 made for to 6 disclosure 7 observation 8 organized 9 years thos 10 simply say 11 February o 12 Actual 13 request, I

of -- you know, and I was interested to hear Mr. Butcher -- his position, the needing some more I understand his position and -- but it's interesting that for four years, efforts have been made for the Lottery Corp and FINTRAC to provide disclosure of documents relative to the observations of Mr. Pinnock and his concerns about organized crime in the gaming venues. And for years those efforts have been unsuccessful. I can simply say, for instance, the request went out in February of 2019 --

Actually, before I read to you the FOI request, I'll just tell you where I'm headed. It may be -- it may be, in order for you to reserve on the question of Mr. Pinnock's standing until you see what it is that we're seeking to have produced. And it also may be within your jurisdiction to fast-track the FOI process and make an order yourself for the material that I'll describe to you.

But in February of 2019, Mr. Sam Cooper from Global News made the following FOI request:

any emails or documents addressed to or exchanged between Fred Pinnock, Derek Sturko, Kevin Begg, Bev Busson, Dick Bent, Leon Ben Wall (phonetic), Joe Schalk, Don Adams [sic], David Morhart --

These are all people whose names you will see surfacing in Mr. Pinnock's statement.

-- regarding the policing or regulation of illegal gaming venues in the B.C. Government legal casinos with regard to issues relating to concerns about organized crime and money laundering, crime and money laundering, jurisdiction of regulation and enforcement of these issues or reports on these issues.

Now, that went out nine months ago. And other than some bureaucratic form letters, there's been no production, materials squarely of immense relevance to the commission, and much of which will require Mr. Pinnock's involvement to manage and deal with properly beyond being on a witness list, in my view. Or at least that may be the

 case. Until we see it, you won't know.

I will say that of the disclosure made so far, FINTRAC in 2015 -- so that shows you how long efforts have been made to get the material.

FINTRAC in a heavily redacted statement has a record from 2015 saying this:

According to media reports, an RCMP unit whose responsibility was to combat illegal gambling in British Columbia, reported in a threat assessment that organized crime figures were likely involved in illegal gambling. According to the assessment, 25 reports were filed over 2005 to 2008 --

That's Mr. Pinnock's tenure there.

-- involving illegal gaming houses connected to or operated by organized crime figures or frequented by gang members. Members and associates of the Hells Angels as well as Italian and Asian organized crime figures are believed to run these illegal facilities and operate as bookmakers.

#### It is also noted that:

Some loan sharks are also believed to be associated to Asian-based criminal organizations. The assessment recommended that a now disbanded RCMP unit take a leadership role in the province-wide coordinated enforcement initiative to combat organized crime that engaged in illegal gambling.

Now, FINTRAC had that in 2015, four years ago. Yet, you know, when we read the Peter German report -- now, it's a lengthy report and I apologize if I missed it, but I didn't find it. And one can certainly appreciate the frustration and hurt that Mr. Pinnock -- of all the hundreds of RCMP people and officials of the provincial gaming unit and the casino -- he has come forward. He has come forward. If it wasn't for Fred Pinnock and Mr. Alderson, this commission wouldn't be here. And I think it's imperative from the

public perception that he be treated as more than just a witness, be able to participate and actually assist the commission in what's supposed to be the great engine of truth in making the necessary findings. THE COMMISSION: I think there's no doubt but that he

THE COMMISSION: I think there's no doubt but that he will assist the commission, whatever status he has. But I take your point.

MR. JAFFE: Thank you. And I'll just finish by saying this. I know, Mr. Commissioner, you have all the discretion in the world to fashion the standing in a manner which best suits the purposes of the commission, and it's not a black and white matter. It's not an on-and-off switch. So obviously there are areas of your inquiry that are beyond Mr. Pinnock's capability to help you with. But there's much of what you'll be looking at which would be assisted greatly, in my view, by his place at the table before you.

And I also note -- I know that Mr. Alderson has accepted his role as a witness in this, but I also note that you can fashion standing in the nature of a group allocation. So if for instance there are people of -- other whistleblowers who might want to come forward, you can fashion standing to some degree to accommodate them. And you'll see in Mr. Pinnock's statement there's a number of people that he doesn't identify specifically but that he's spoken with, including an individual who was an employee of a casino that observed a senior manager at the casino dealing with a known loan shark, but moving off camera before they had that conversation. That kind of evidence --

THE COMMISSIONER: As I recall, though, he doesn't have his name, that individual's name.

MR. JAFFE: Ah, well, that has been resolved.

THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.

MR. JAFFE: That has been resolved, and there are other names of other people who at this point have confided in Mr. Pinnock but who haven't come forward for various reasons. So if Mr. Pinnock had standing, the ability to marshal some of that evidence and put it before the commission, I think, would be enhanced.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Jaffe. MR. JAFFE: Thank you.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Anything, Mr. Martland or Mr. 2 McGowan? 3 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you, no, Mr. Commissioner. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. All right. Thank you. 5 MR. MARTLAND: I think we're now at the juncture where I think we're able to conclude unless there's 6 7 something I've overlooked. It seems to me that 8 we'll be looking to set some further date to 9 address Mr. Butcher's application. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 11 MR. MARTLAND: In addition to these hearings on standing, we have public meetings that are 12 13 starting next week, Wednesday, in Vancouver --14 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 15 MR. MARTLAND: -- in five cities. All of the information about those meetings will be available 16 17 through the commission website. Unless there's 18 anything further, I suggest we're in a position to 19 adjourn at this point. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. And I think I should indicate to the applicants that I will do my best to get a 21 22 decision out sooner rather than later. 23 hopeful it can be before the end of next week, but 24 it may slide into the following week. We'll do 25 our best. Thank you. 26 27 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) 28 29 30 31